

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,904	11/24/2003	George Sgouros	D6348CIP	5297	
Benjamin Aar	7590 05/15/200 on Adler	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ADLER & AS	SOCIATES	PERREIRA, MELISSA JEAN			
8011 Candle I Houston, TX 7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,904	SGOUROS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MELISSA PERREIRA	1618	

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MELISSA PERREIRA	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GPR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the six or extended period for reply will. by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 GPR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2009.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-19 and 34-59</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 20-33 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.						
application from the International Bureau	•		9-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Australia						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO.413)				
Notice of Practices Cited (PTO-982) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5). Notice of Informal P	atert Application				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

6) Other: __

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/26/09 has been entered.

Priority

Claims 20-33 are granted benefit of the priority date 12/16/02 of the parent
document 10/319,978. Support for the recitation, "entrapping said radionuclide within
small liposomal vesicles; incorporating said entrapped radionuclide into the aqueous
phase of large lipsomes" of the instant claims 20-33 was not found in the provisional
60/212,186.

Previous Claims and Rejections Status

- Claims 1-59 are pending in the application. Claims 1-19 and 34-59 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected groups I,III and IV.
- 4. The rejection of claims 20-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen et al. (US 6,592,843) in view of Scheinberg et al. (6,683,162B2) and Wartchow et al. (US2003/0082103A1) is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1618

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear as to what "engineered molecules or fragments thereof" would include. It is unclear what compounds would be encompassed by the terms "engineered molecules or fragments thereof" thus the metes and bounds are not defined as the specification fails to define such "engineered molecules or fragments thereof". Further, such "engineered molecules or fragments thereof" are not recognized terms of the art.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPC2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPC 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPC 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPC 444 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1618

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3,73(b).

8. Claims 20-33 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-17, 29-55 of copending Application No. 10/319978. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed a method of targeting cells in an individual for liposomal delivery of an alpha particle-emitting radionuclide thereto with reduced systemic release of radioactive decay intermediates comprising the steps of: entrapping passively said radionuclide within small liposomal vesicles; incorporating said entrapped radionuclide into the aqueous phase of large liposomes, said liposomes having a diameter sufficient to retain at least a majority of the radioactive decay intermediates of said radionuclide. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the claims of the copending application in order to produce a method.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1618

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 20-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen et al. (US 6,592,843) in view of Wartchow et al. (US2003/0082103A1) and in further view of Pikul et al. (*Arch. Surg.* 1987, 122, 1417-1420) and Presant et al. (US 5,441,745).
- Larsen et al. (US 6.592.843) discloses the method of targeting cells in an individual/human via the administration of radionuclide-liposome conjugates to treat malignancies, such as cancer, leukemia, etc. (column 6, lines 35-38 and 55-59). The preparation of the radionuclide-liposome conjugator systems involve encapsulation of radionuclides that emit alpha particles, such as ²¹²Pb, ²²⁵Ac into a liposome containing PEG affinic groups and an agent which is capable of maintaining the desired pH in the internal aqueous medium (column 2, lines 37-62; column 3, lines 3-13 and 34-46). The resulting radionuclide-liposome conjugator system is stabilized in a PBS solution (phosphate containing buffer) (example 2). The radionuclide is chelated to EDTA. DTPA, etc. to form a chelation compound and is actively incorporated into the liposomal vesicle with the help of an ionophore (column 3, lines 43-46), thus yielding liposomes that are of the typical size of 100nm (column 3, line 13). The trapping of ²¹²Pb in a high concentration of chelator within the liposome avoids the release of the daughter product where the conjugator system traps the daughter nuclide. ²¹²Bi, within the liposome after nuclear transformation (column 5, lines 7-24). The PEG grafted liposomes allow for the conjugation of targeting ligands, such as folate conjugated monoclonal antibodies

Application/Control Number: 10/720,904

Art Unit: 1618

(column 2, lines 37-62; column 5, lines 58-65; column 6, lines 1-18) to target the radionuclide-liposome conjugator systems to desired cells. Increased levels of tumor uptake due to sustained blood concentrations are thereby achieved (column 1, lines 45-50). Larsen et al. does not disclose the incorporation of the radionuclide containing small liposome into a large liposome having a diameter of about 600 to about 1000 nm or labeling the small vesicle with biotin or herceptin.

12. Wartchow et al. (US2003/0082103A1) discloses radiotherapeutic liposomal constructs comprising a radionuclide-chelator (DOTA) conjugation compound, targeting entity (antibody) and stabilizing entity (PEG) (p1, [0002]; p7, [0054]; p8, [0055-0057]; p10, [0077] and [0084]). The radiotherapeutic liposomal constructs are used for the method of targeting cancerous tissue with radionuclides upon administration to a subject while leaving healthy tissue unaffected (p1, [0003]; p13, [0101]). The liposomes of the disclosure may be bilayer structures that may have the therapeutic agent (i.e. radionuclide) passively encapsulated as a radionuclide-chelator (p9, [0075]), the membrane bilayers typically encapsulate an aqueous volume containing active drugs and the resulting MUV are of the size 1000 nm (p10, [0078-0079]). These multilamellar vesicles (MUV) are rapidly taken up into the reticuloendothelial system (the liver and spleen) which causes them to remain in the circulatory system for hours (p10, [0079]). The targeting agents may include herceptin, biotin, etc. (p11, [0089]; p12, [0099]; p14, [0105]).

Art Unit: 1618

 Larsen et al. also does not disclose the passive incorporation of the radionuclide into a liposome,

- 14. Pikul et al. (*Arch. Surg.* **1987**, *122*, 1417-1420) discloses the preparation of liposomes containing dextran-²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi via passively capturing/encapsulating the solution of dextran-²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi in the aqueous center of a large, unilamellar liposome (as evidenced by Larsen et al. (US 6,592,843) column 14, lines 28-29; column 1, lines 59-64). The diameter of the resulting liposome is from 350-500 nm (p1417, paragraph 3 and carrier vehicle: liposomes). ²¹²Pb is a more attractive radiation source than ²¹²Bi as it has a half-life of 10.6 hours and decays to its α-emitting daughter ²¹²Bi (abstract; p1417, paragraph 2).
- 15. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art that dextran-²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi may be passively encapsulated into the liposomes of Larsen et al. as Pikul et al. teaches of the passive encapsulation of dextran-²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi into liposomes and Larsen et al. states that dextran-²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi is passively incorporated into the aqueous phase (i.e. the interior of the liposome) (Larsen et al. column 1, lines 59-64).
- 16. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art that the liposomal radionuclide-conjugator complex of Larsen et al. may be multilamellar (MUV) and thus contain a radiolabeled small liposome within a large liposome as Wartchow et al. teaches that radiotherapeutic liposomal constructs may be encapsulated within the MUV (i.e. multilamellar vesicle radionuclide-conjugator complexes). The MUV's of Warthchow et al. provides for the limitation of a radiolabeled

Page 8

Art Unit: 1618

small liposome contained within a large liposome as the multilamellar vesicles have an onion like form (Wartchow et al. p10, [0078]). The references of Larsen et al. and Wartchow et al. are drawn to the same utility, such as radionuclide-chelator liposomal conjugator systems and therefore one skilled in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success for preparing such a multilamellar liposome.

- 17. Wartchow et al. teaches of PEG containing liposomal vesicles for the attachment of targeting groups while Larsen et al. also teaches of PEG containing liposomal vesicles and therefore one would have a reasonable expectation of success for attaching any targeting agent, such as herceptin or biotin to the liposomes of Larsen et al. for site specificity, such as targeting cancerous tissue with radionuclides upon administration of the liposomal vesicles while leaving healthy tissue unaffected (Wartchow et al. p1, [0003]; p13, [0101]).
- 18. In regards to the instant claim 22, Larsen et al. does not disclose the preinjection of an empty liposome saturating the reticuloendothelial organs.
- 19. Presant et al. (US 5,441,745) discloses the enhancement of the movement of the phospholipid vesicles to the tumors in a patient's body by introducing an empty phospholipids vesicle into the patient's blood stream to block uptake by the reticuloendothelial cells of the liver, spleen and other tissues in the patient's body prior to the administration of the phospholipid vesicle containing a labeling agent (i.e. radioisotope) (column 3, lines 65+; column 4, lines 1-26; fig 3; column 8, lines 33-55).

Art Unit: 1618

20. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide for a reticuloendothelial blockade, which involves the prior administration of empty liposomes, to ensure for the enhanced uptake of the labeled phospholipid vesicle to tumors and reduced uptake by healthy tissues of the liver, spleen, etc. for the method of targeting cells in an individual/human of Larsen et al.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 3/26/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant asserts that Larsen et al. discloses the preparation of the liposomes using an active incorporation of radionuclides via ionophores.
- 23. The reference of Larsen et al. was not used to teach of the passive incorporation of radionuclides into liposomes but was used to teach of the encapsulation of radionuclides that emit alpha particles, such as ²¹²Pb, ²²⁵Ac into a liposome to generate a radionuclide-liposome conjugator system containing PEG affinic groups. The radionuclide-liposome conjugator system avoids the release of the daughter product and the conjugator system traps the daughter nuclide, ²¹²Bi, within the liposome after nuclear transformation (Larsen et al. column 5, lines 7-24). Pikul et al. was used to teach of the passive encapsulation of dextran-²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi into liposomes. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the encapsulation of dextran-²¹²Pb/²¹²Bi into the liposomes of Larsen et al., which traps the daughter nuclide (²¹²Bi), does not require an ionophore.

Art Unit: 1618

 Applicant asserts that Wartchow et al. does not disclose larger liposomes having a diameter of about 600-1000nm.

- 25. Wartchow et al. teaches of liposomes (MUV) are of the size 1000 nm (Wartchow et al. p10, [0078-0079]) which encompasses the limitations of about 600 nm to about 1000 nm of the instant claims.
- Applicant asserts that no combination of the references suggest the attachment of antibodies directly onto the PEG-lipid surface.
- 27. Wartchow et al. teaches of PEG containing liposomal vesicles for the attachment of targeting groups while Larsen et al. also teaches of PEG containing liposomal vesicles and therefore one would have a reasonable expectation of success for attaching any targeting agent, such as herceptin or biotin to the liposomes of Larsen et al. for site specificity, such as targeting cancerous tissue with radionuclides upon administration of the liposomal vesicles while leaving healthy tissue unaffected (Wartchow et al. p1, [0003]; p13, [0101]).

Conclusion

No claims are allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA PERREIRA whose telephone number is (571)272-1354. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm M-F.

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/Melissa Perreira/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618